Contents

Special Features Listing of Tables & Figures Tables	ix
Figures	Xi
A Letter to Our Readers	xiii
Acknowledgments	XV
About the Authors	xvii
1. Why Differentiation of Instruction Now?	1
Rationales for Differentiated Instruction	2
Rationale #1: Meet Needs of Diverse Students	3
Rationale #2: Meet Legal Mandates	4
Rationale #3: Be Ethical in Implementing Democratic Values	5
Rationale #4: Dispel Myths	6
Rationale #5: Be Effective	7
Retrofit and Universal Design:	9
Two Approaches to Differentiated Instruction Overview of the Book	12
Emerging Research and Resources	13
2. Accessing the Coneral Education Curriculum	
2. Accessing the General Education Curriculum Through a Retrofit Framework	15
Scenario #1: Elementary Science and Social Studies	17
Scenario #2: Middle Level Mathematics	22 23
Scenario #5: Middle Level Science	29
Scenario #4: High School Language Arts What Do You Know About Petrofitting as a	4.7
What Do You Know About Retrofitting as a Way to Differentiate Instruction?	30
3. Access to Curriculum Through Universal	25
Design for Learning	35
The UDL Cycle for Differentiating	
Content, Product, and Process	37
Design Point #1: Gathering Facts	
About the Learners	41
Design Point #2: Content	41

	Design Point #3: Product Design Point #4: Process	42 43
	Pause and Reflect About Student-Specific Teaching Strategies and Supports	46
	Putting It All Together With the Co-teaching Universal Design Lesson Plan	47
4.	Gathering Facts About the Learners	49
	Record Review Family-Centered and Culturally Responsive Fact Gathering Interest Inventories Learning Preferences Information Multiple Intelligences Data-Based Observations Including Functional	50 50 51 54 54
	Behavioral Assessments and Cooperative Group Monitoring Functional Behavioral Assessment Monitoring Cooperative Group Learning Curriculum-Based Assessments Making Action Plans (MAPs) Disability-Specific Information Co-teacher Roles in Gathering Facts About the Learners Pause and Reflect	56 58 59 59 60 61 64
5.	Differentiating Access to the Content of Learning	65
	What Is Content? Ways to Promote Access to Content Taxonomies and Objectives: Using and Not Abusing Them Layered Curriculum and Levels of Participation Differentiating Content Using Graphic Organizers and	66 67 67 71
	Educational Technology Differentiating Content With Culturally Responsive Techniques Involving Students in Determining Content Co-teacher Roles in Differentiating Content Pause and Reflect	72 73 75 76 76
6.	Differentiating and Assessing the Products of Learning	79
	Why Differentiate Assessment in a Climate of High Stakes Testing? Using Culturally Responsive Techniques to	80
	Differentiate and Assess the Products of Learning Using a Taxonomy of Objectives to Differentiate Products and Assessment	81
	Applying Concepts From Learning Preferences Frameworks to Differentiate Assessment	84
	Using Formative Assessment and Scaffolding to Differentiate Assessment	85
	Curriculum-Based Assessments	86

	Differentiating How Teachers Grade Products	87
	Alternatives to Norm-Referenced Grading Procedures	88
	Adapting Criterion-Referenced Grading Systems	88
	Self-Referenced Systems	89
	Co-teacher Roles in Differentiating Products of Learning	89
	Pause and Reflect	90
7	Differentiating the Instructional Processes	91
	The Complexities of the Process of Instruction	
	(Graphic Organizer)	92
	Instructional Formats	92
	Instructional Arrangements	96
	Instructional Strategies	100
	Applying Concepts From Learning Preferences Frameworks	101
	Integration of the Arts	103
	Social and Physical Environment Co-teaching Approaches	105
	Pause and Reflect	105
	rause and reflect	106
8.	Collaborative Planning for Differentiated Instruction	109
	Rationale for, and Benefits of,	
	Collaborative Planning and Teaching	110
	Effective and Efficient Use of Planning Time	112
	Development of Relationships Among	
	Team Members: It's a Process!	113
	Are We Really an Effective Planning Team?	116
9.	Co-teaching to Deliver Differentiated Instruction	119
	Research Base for Co-teaching	121
	Who Can Be Co-teachers?	121
	Four Approaches to Co-teaching	122
	Questions About Co-teaching to Differentiate Instruction	127
10.	UDL Lesson Planning Cycle to Differentiate Instruction	
	in Action: Fourth Grade Social Studies	133
	Co-teacher Professional Development Activities	135
	Multiple Methods for Accessing the History Content	136
	Differentiating the Products (Outcomes) of Learning	139
	Differentiating the Instructional Processes	139
	Implementing the UDL Plan	143
	Using the Lesson Plan Template	144
11.	UDL Lesson Planning Cycle to Differentiate	
	Instruction in Action: Middle Level Mathematics	151
	Professional Development Activities	152
	Gathering (New) Facts About the Learners	152

Multiple Methods for Accessing the Content for Algebra 1	155
Differentiating the Products (Outcomes) of Learning	157
Differentiating the Instructional Processes	158
Implementing the UDL Plan	159
Using the Universal Design Lesson Plan Template	160
12. UDL Lesson Planning Cycle to Differentiate	
Instruction in Action: Middle Level Science	165
Planning to Change From a Retrofit Approach to a	
Universal Design Approach	166
Gathering (Additional) Facts About the Learners	167
Prior to the Lesson	170
Differentiate Products	171
Differentiate the Learning Process	171
Differentiated Processes in the Class	172
Reflection	176
13. UDL Lesson Planning Cycle to Differentiate	
Instruction in Action: High School Language Arts	185
Co-teacher Professional Development Activities	186
Gathering Facts About the Learners	187
Differentiate the Content and Materials	188
Differentiate the Process	193
The Planned Lesson	194
Pause and Reflect	197
14. Epilogue: Pause and Reflect	203
Resources	207
References	213
Index	225

Table 5.1 Differentiating Content Using Multiple Intelligences (MI) Theory

Intelligence	Sample Ways to Access Content
Verbal/Linguistic	Oral Presentations Speeches Books Newspapers Internet Research Tape Recorders Reports Books on Tape
Logical/Mathematical	Calculators and Other Technology Math Manipulatives Outlines Timelines Lab Experiments Puzzles Formulas Math Games
Visual/Spatial	Graphs Concept Maps Graphic Organizers Videos PowerPoint Presentations Cameras Lego Blocks Posters Charts Cartoons
Bodily/Kinesthetic	Field Trips Building Tools Hands-on Tactile Learning Multisensory Learning Manipulatives Role Playing Acting Cooperative Learning Experiments Sports/Games Sports Equipment
Musical/Rhythmic	Songs About People, Books, Countries, Historic Events Raps Jingles Rhymes Mnemonic Devices

Intelligence	Sample Ways to Access Content
9517	Poetry
	Musical Instruments
	Tape Recorder
Naturalist	Plants
	Animals
	Field Trips
	Identifying Elements of and/or Relationship to Nature
	Gardening Tools
	Naturalists' Tools (e.g., Binoculars)
Interpersonal/People	Think-Pair-Share Activities
Smart	Cooperative Group Learning
	Role Play
	Debate
	Co-teaching
	Board Games
	Props for Role Plays
	Party Supplies
Intrapersonal/Self Smart	Journals
	Diaries
	Self-Monitoring Materials
	Materials for Projects

educational benefit of educational objectives (Marzano, Pickering, & Pollock, 2001) indicate that students learn more when their teachers provide them with clearly written objectives and when the objective is at the correct level of difficulty. Average-achieving students seem to gain more from being provided with written objectives than their high- or low-achieving classmates who seem to gain more when written objectives are augmented with visual and auditory exemplars.

Clearly, the use of taxonomies to select and communicate expected content outcomes could be of value to learners. With that said, we offer two cautions in using taxonomies to differentiate student access to the content of learning.

First, when using taxonomies to develop objectives, emphasis must be placed on developing a range of objectives rather than a single objective for the entire class. For decades, teachers in preparation have been taught how to write objectives based upon taxonomies such as Bloom's. Yet, this instruction has led to few teachers differentiating objectives for various learners within a lesson or unit. This may be due to the way in which teachers were taught to formulate lessons or school district requirements regarding lesson plan formats that set a single objective per lesson. A universal design perspective to differentiate